19 File No. 5660/36

A CLASS

P.W. DEPARTMENT
E.L. BRANCH

Subject:—

Bill for services of Mr. H.J. Mullenaux as arbitrator for Hubli undertaking - valuation

File No. 5660/36.

[G. R., G. D., No. 2408, dated 22-6-22.]

Std. Sectt. 73 a.

DOCKET SHEET

Subject:—

File No. 5660/36/51018

P.W. DEPT.

II BRANCH. (F

References:—

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.
28/8/49	D.S.	Issue w.o. to H. Q. to Egypt (13.9.49)
6/12/49	H	Issue w.o. to F in Delhi. (6.1.50)
17/1/50	D.S	Issue letter, encl. on Wb 19.20 over D.S.'s signature as D.C. & return with three share values H. Urgent H
25/1/50	For Br	Await (1.3.50)
22/4/50	H	H. (4-5-50)
11/5/50	D.S.	Issue w.o. to H. Q. to Egypt (1.6.50)
1/6/50	H	Issue w.o. to H. Solicitor to Govt (Messrs Pittle & Story) (with files nos 5660/36/57729 5660/36 VI-6 & new not returned in this branch

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.
		V. urgent
13/7/51	25	issue no. to [illegible]
VG 25/7/51	Regy	(1-8-51) Pl return no to PWD 25/7/51
		V. urgent
28/7	25.	issue bills / cards on Nos 89-90 & book with two share copies HL
6/12	25.	issue no. to Rly. Mtg (6-1-51)
3/3/51.	Regy	Pl. issue no. to Adv.
30/3/51.	Regy	Pl. issue no. to P.W.D.
)/4	25.	issue — bills / cards on p. 133 & book with a share copy HL

Telephone { Office 27424
Residence 78014

Senior Partner:
H. J. MULLENEUX, O.B.E.,
M.I.E.E., M.I.E. (INDIA) A.I.R.E. (U.S.A.);
A.I.L.E., FELLOW P.W. INST. (GB).
CHARTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER
Junior Partner:
P. A. MULLENEUX, D.S.C. (HONS.)

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERS & TECHNICAL INVESTIGATORS

30, WESTERN INDIA HOUSE, SIR PHEROZESHAH MEHTA ROAD, FORT, BOMBAY

3rd. August, 1949.

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
P.W.D.Secretariat,
Churchgate Street,
Fort,
Bombay.

Dear Sir,

We submit herewith our Bill No.13 A. for services rendered in connection with the Valuation and Arbitration of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking and trust you will find it in order.

Yours faithfully,

For MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

Director.

A Bill of Rs-5642
sent to the Ed Engr
vide Govt. Ord. dt. 19 post

5660/36/51018

6

El. B(I)

P.W.D

Acknowledged under No.
5660/36-El(I), dt. the 6-8-49.

5/8/49

5/8/49

For ISCBE
on 6/8/49

5660/36/ III
U.O. to El Engr. on
14/6/49 addressed
on 20-7-49.

El (II) 8/8

P. W. D.
No. 5660/36
Serial No. 51018

Name (P...)
and ...
3 5

7

5260/36
—
51018.

Hl. Br (I). P. W.D.

Submitted

+ No 5260/36 2. The main file dealing with
33111&57729
Not put up the appointment of Mr H.G. Mullineux
as arbitrator & his report on
the valuation are under Hon.
Li the Hl. Gr. to Govt since 17th
June 1949. So far as office
remembers Mr M has not given
his award & the Hl. Gr was
requested to address Mr M
in the matter in consultation with
the Solicitor to Govt.
He may be requested to
return the main file with
his remarks & advise whether
the enclosed bill is in order
& payment may be made
just now or it may be held
over till the award is made.

WM 1-3

621
25/8/49

Urgent/
H.O.

Hl. Gr Li Govt 29.8.49

29 AUG 1949
CRMII
D. ISMBAY

Telephone { Office 27424
Residence 78014

Senior Partner:
H. J. MULLENEUX, O.B.E.,
M I E E; M I E (INDIA) A I R E (U S A);
A.I.L.E., FELLOW P.W. INST. (GB),
CHARTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER.
Junior Partner:
P A MULLENEUX, B.L.C. (HONS.)

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERS & TECHNICAL INVESTIGATORS

30, WESTERN INDIA HOUSE, SIR PHEROZESHAH MEHTA ROAD, FORT, BOMBAY

9th. Septr. 1949.

The Assistant Secretary,
Public Works Department,
Government of Bombay,
Bombay.

Dear Sir,

106

Your letter No.5660/36-EL(I) of 6-8-1949 re:charges in connection with the Valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

We have to draw attention to our letter of the 3rd. August 1949, enclosing a Bill for Rupees Five thousand six hundred and forty two, in connection with the Valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking and will be glad to receive your cheque in payment thereof at a very early date.

Yours Faithfully,
For Mulleneux & Mulleneux Ltd.

P.A. Mulleneux.

H.T.W.

Managing Director.

5660/36
5 10 18

10

El. Br (I). P.W.D.

Submitted. for information
2. The Bill has been refd
to the El. Br. Committee
for remarks on 29.8.49
in file no 5660/36/
5 10 18 ... the matter be
requested to expedite
its return with their
remarks.

21/9/49 21/9/49

5660
5 10 18
29 8 49
El/8/49

El B I
12/9
9/9/49

P. W. D.
5660/36
59650

El Br I
10-9-49

Below U.O.R.No.5660/36/51018 dated the 29th August 1949, from Government in the Public Works Department.

=====

No.LRM.11/ 4729 of 1949.

Bombay, 23rd September 1949.

Returned, with compliments.

2. The bill preferred by Mr.Mulleneux is in order and there seems to be no objection to make the payment thereof, excluding item (2) of the bill for Rs.1,000/- which represents the charges for the arbitration work. The charges for the arbitration work may be paid after the award is finally made, vide in this connection my letter No.LRM.11/3328 dated the 2nd August 1949, addressed to Government.

3. The main file regarding the valuation was returned to Government with this office U.O.R.No.LRM.11/4186 dated the 1st September 1949.

for Electrical Engineer to Govt.

P.

P. W. I.
File No. 5660/36
Serial No. 62275

13 ~~12~~

Telephone { Office 22424 / Residence 78014

Senior Partner:
M. J. MULLENEUX, O.B.E., M.I.E.E.; M.I.E. (INDIA) A.I.R.E. (U.S.A.); A.I.L.E., FELLOW P.W. INST. (GB), CHARTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER.
Junior Partner:
P. A. MULLENEUX, D.L.C. (HONS.)

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERS & TECHNICAL INVESTIGATORS

30, WESTERN INDIA HOUSE, SIR PHEROZESHAH MEHTA ROAD, FORT, BOMBAY

3rd October, 1949.

The Assistant Secretary to Govt.,
Public Works Department,
BOMBAY.

Dear Sir,

~~126~~

Your letter No.5660/36-EI(I) of the 6th August 1949 re: charges in connection with the Valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

We have again to draw attention to our Bill for Rupees five thousand six hundred and forty two, in connection with the Valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking, receipt of which was acknowledged under your letter quoted above.

In spite of a reminder dated the 9th September 1949, we have heard nothing further in the matter and as the bill is now outstanding for a period of nearly 2 months, we shall be obliged to receive your cheque in payment by return post.

Yours faithfully,
For: MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.,

P.A. Mulleneux.

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

HTW/

5660/36/64818.

P. W. D.

El.Br.(I).

Govt. has taken over the control of the Hubli Ely. Undertaking. Mr. H.J.Mulleneux was nominated, under G.O. dt. the 16-1-48, as the Arbitrator to determine the value of the undertaking to be paid by Govt. persuant to clauses (b) and (d) of section 5 of the I.E. Act. The terms of appointment as laid down in G.L.No.5660/36-VII-El., dt. the 16-1-48, are as follows:-

(a) In con. with the valuation work at site, Mr. Mulleneux. shall be provided with all the unskilled labour required for .. . . opening parts of machinery, control gear, distribution lines, poles etc.

(b) Mr. M.shall be paid a fee of Rs.300 per day or part of the day spent for the valuation work at site subject to a maximum fee ~~fm~~ of Rs. 4,500.

(c) Mr. M. shall further be paid a fee of Rs.1000 for arbitration work.

(d) In addition, Mr.M. shall be paid travelling charges from Bombay to Hubli and Back.

2. - Mr. M. has since subtd. his report and valuation with analysis sheets and complete inventory in con. with the undertaking, but has not given his award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899. The El.Engr. ~~has~~ was therefore ~~been~~ requested in the main file No. 5660/36/57729, dt. to take up the matter with the Solicitors to Govt. and advise Mr.M. how he should proceed further in the matter.

3. In the meanwhile, Mr.Mulleneux has submitted a bill for his services for the valuation and arbitration work. The bill amounts to Rs. 5642/- as mentioned below:-

(a).....

⊛ slip Z. file 5660/36/57729 p45

& - do - p27 (with the Solicitors to Govt)

@ kept below (with the Solicitors to Govt)

△ - do - p-94 ↓ (with the Solicitors

	15 days for collection of informn. and data at Rs.300/- per day	Rs. 4500
(b)	Preparation of Inventory and co-ordination for arbitration value.	Rs. 1000
(c)	Two first class Railway fares from Bombay to Hubli and return in February.	Rs. 142
	Total	Rs. 5,642.

4. The El.Engr. states that the bill preferred by Mr. M. is in order and payment may be made excluding Rs. 1000 mentioned in para 3 (b) above, this amount being paid after the award, refd. to in para 2 above is made.

5. It may be stated in this con. that Mr. M. has still not given his award finally, for which purpose he went to Hubli and collected necy. data refd. to in para 3 (a) above.

Besides, at the request of the Hubli Co. and in consultation with the Solicitors to Govt., the El.Engr. has since proposed separately that Govt. should agree (i) to pay interest at 4% to the Co. on the capital sums ultimately found due to the Co.and (ii) to appoint another arbitrator viz. the Co's nominee, along with Mr. M. to determine the purchase price of the undertaking. This question is under consideration vide, to-days O.N. in the linked case No.I (file No. 5660/36/57729).

6. It is, therefore, for orders whether -

(a) Mr. M. may be paid partly(Rs. 4642)as suggested by the El.Engr. at this stage in cousultation with the F.D.

Or

(b) he may be informed that the whole amount due to him(viz Rs.5642)will be paid after he has given the award.

3/12/49.

Through A.B.(III).

A.B.(III)

If the amount is to be paid in the current financial year it may be authorized under

2.
SSS.
3/12/49.

5660/36
64818.

the head "XLI - Receipts from Electricity Schemes - Deduct - Working Expenses" in consultation with the Fs. D.

5/12/49.

Mr. M. may be paid partly (Rs. 4642) as suggested by the Secy. Dept. at this stage, in consultation with the F.D.

KJ 5.12.49

D.S

Secy.

Payment of about Rs. 2000/- out of Rs. 4500 for valuation and plans of Rs. 142 T.A. may be arranged as in fin. memorandum.

6/12

to Fin Deptt. of 7.12.49

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
Fin 39
G
F 7/12/49

Finance Deptt.
F.

The P.W.D. proposal, as contained in the Deptt's Secy's foregoing note may be accepted subject to the remark that the amount of Rs 142/- on account of ordinary T.A. should be granted subject to verification in audit.

Not a municipal
(Hrs 2 sitting) 10/12

Mr. P.

Thakur

C.P.D. & G.-F.
2/12/49

U.S.(S)
23/12/49

Res. Preliminaries in P.W.D.
23/12

D.T.+D
21/12

Ans
24/12/49.

Memo to P.W.D. dt. 27-12-49

5660/36/89079 18

El. Br. II. P. W. D.

The F.A. ††17 agrees with the Secy's. note overleaf.

2. The draft ††19-20 put up may now issue, if approved.

ASS 16-1-50. Thro' AB (III)

16/1/50

16/1/50

Js.

16/1/50

P. W. D.
File No. 5660/36
Serial No. 89079

EE I. 29/1

mrB

I

A copy may be supplied to Audit. 777

19

Letter

5660/36/89079.

No.5660/36-El. (I).

P. W. Deptt.,
B. C. (Bombay No.1),
17th January 1950.

To

The Electrical Engineer to Govt.

Subject :- Bill for the services of Mr. H.J.Mulleneux in con. with the valuation ~~of~~ of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

---

p.11

Reference: Your No.LRM.11/4729, dt. the 23-9-49.

2. Govt. is pleased to direct that out of Rs.5,642/-, claimed by Mr. H.J. Mulleneux for determining the valuation etc. of the Hubli El. Supply Undertaking (vide p.1-3 enclosed Bill No.13a, dt. the 3-8-49), an amount of Rs.2,000/- plus Rs.142/- on account of ~~ordinary~~ t.a. should be paid to Mr. Mulleneux as the first instalment, the t.a. being subject to verification in audit. The remaining amount should be paid to him after he has given his final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899.

You are, therefore, requested to make the necy. arrangements for payment of the amount, as mentioned above, to Mr. Mulleneux, under intimation to Govt.

3. The expenditure of Rs.2,142 is authorized in the current year under the head "XLI - Receipts from Electricity Schemes - Deduct Working Expenses - Hubli Elec. Supply Undertaking - Estabt. Spl."

By order etc.,

D.A. - p.1-3 Bill (in duplicate)

Copy forwarded for information to H.J.Mulleneux, Esqr., Christ Church Compound, Christ Church Private Road, Off Clare Road, Byculla, Bombay, with refce. to his letter p.5 dt. the 3-8-49.

Copy, ~~with a copy of Bill No.13 a, dt. the 3-8-49, recd. with Mr. Mullneux's letter refd. to above,~~ p.1 p.5 forwarded for informn. to -

the .....

5660/36

20

the F.D.,
the A.G., Bombay. (Thro: F.D.)

with refce. to G.E., No.5660/36-VII-El., dt. the 16-1-1948.

ASB
16-1-50

x File 5660/36
57729
p 27
(Being noted upon separately)

KJ 16/1/50

CKW
16.1.50

Letter.

No.5660/36-VI.(1). Public Works Departm
Bombay Castle(Bombay Ha
17th January 1950.

To

The Electrical Engineer to Government.

Subject:- Bill for the services of Mr. H.J.Mulleneux in connection with the valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

.....

Reference: Your No.LRM.11/4729, dated the 23rd September 1949.

2. Government is pleased to direct that out of Rs.5,642/-, claimed by Mr. H.J.Mulleneux for determining the valuation etc. of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking (vide, enclosed Bill No.13a, dated the 3rd August 1949), an amount of Rs.2,000/- plus Rs.142/- on account of travelling allowance should be paid to Mr. Mulleneux as the first instalment, the travelling allowance being subject to verification in audit. The remaining amount should be paid to him after he has given his final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899.

You are, therefore, requested to make the necessary arrangements for payment of the amount, as mentioned above, to Mr. Mulleneux, under intimation to Government.

3. The expenditure of Rs.2,142 is authorized in the current year under the head "XLI - Receipts from Electricity Schemes - Deduct Working Expenses - Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking - Establishment Special".

By order of the Governor of Bombay,

KJH 8/1/50

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department.

o/c
5/1/50

D.O.-Bill(in duplicate).

Copy forwarded for information to H.J.Mulleneux, Esquire, Christ Church Compound, Christ Church Private Road, Off Clare Road, Byculla, Bombay, with reference to his letter dated the 3rd August 1949.

Copy forwarded for information to -

the Finance Department,
the Accountant General, Bombay(through Finance Department),

with reference to Government Endorsement No.5660/36-VII-El., dated the 16th January 1948.

with Bill in Duplicate

ISSUED
on 19/1/50 GPA

L.S./17/1
9

Orders issued after consultation with Finance Department.
Notes remain with this Department and can be seen when required.

To

N.B. (III) with a copy of the letter.

Copy retained pl

21/1/50

21/1/50.

— > VM B
23/1

23 F

Telephone { Office 27424
Residence 78014

Senior
M. J. MULLENEUX
M.I.E.E.; M.I.E. (INDIA) A.I.S.E.E.,
A.I.L.E., FELLOW P.W. INST. (CAL.)
CHARTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER.
Junior Partner:
P. A. MULLENEUX, B.L.C. (HONS.)

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERS & TECHNICAL INVESTIGATORS

30, WESTERN INDIA HOUSE, SIR PHEROZESHAH MEHTA ROAD, FORT, BOMBAY
Ref:- PWD/HE/18A/105. 12th November, 1949.

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
P.W.D.Secretariat,
Churchgate Street,
Fort,
Bombay.

Dear Sir,

We have again to remind you of our outstanding Bill No.15 A., submitted on the 3rd August.

The only reply we have had so far has been the acknowledgement of it's receipt.

The matter has been discussed with the Electrical Engineer to Government who stated that the delay was probably due to protracted discussions regarding arbitration. We have informed him that if this is the case there should be no reason why the account should not be settled since the terms outlined in your letter No.5660/36.VII-El. of 16th January 1948, were for investigation and submission of an arbitration figure the total of which ammounted to Rs.5,500/- plus Railway expenses was a counter offer to our original quotation of Rs.7,000/- which was an all in fee.

In any case we shall be glad if you will now settle the ammount due for work already done, leaving the matter of the difference for settlement at a later date after a discussion if necessary.

Yours faithfully,
For MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

Director.


P. W. D.
File No. 5660/36
Serial No. 76525.

25 ✓ 5660/36/76525

El. Br. Es. P. W. D.

The relevant papers (5660/36/66818) wherein the Secy. has noted that some portion of the amount due to Mr. Mullenewe may be paid at this stage, are under note to the F.D. since the 6-12-49. Their receipt may be awaited. In the meanwhile, the draft put up may issue, if approved.

ASS
11-12-49

P 5

Hindi

25

27 5 5660/36/76

No. 5660/36-El. Is. P. W. D.

B.C. (Bbay No-1) 13th Dec. 1949

To

H. J. Mullenexe, Esq.,
Director, The Mullenexe & Mullenexe, Ltd.,
30, Western India House,
Sir Pherozshah Mehta Road, Fort,
Bombay.

Sub :— Valuation of Hubli
undertaking — Bill for.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P.W.D./HE/18A/105, d. the 12-11-49 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the matter is already receiving the attention of Govt. and a further communication will be made to you shortly.

Yours faithfully,

ABS
11-12-49

DA - Nil

13/12/49 AM

DS.

13/12

~~23~~ 29

PERSONAL.

Telephone { Office 27424 / Residence 78014

Senior Partners:
H. J. MULLENEUX, O.B.E., M.I.E.E.; M.I.E. (INDIA) A.I.R.E. (U.S.A.); A.I.L.E., FELLOW P.W. INST. (GB), CHARTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER.
Junior Partner:
P. A. MULLENEUX, D.L.C. (HONS.)

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERS & TECHNICAL INVESTIGATORS

~~30, WESTERN INDIA HOUSE, SIR PHEROZESHAH MEHTA ROAD, FORT, BOMBAY~~

Christ Church Compound, Christ Church Private Road, Off Clare Road, Byculla, Bombay.

No. PWD/HE/18A/119

11th January 1950.

The Secretary,
Public Works Department,
P.W.Secretariat,
Churchgate, Bombay.

Dear Sir,

I have to draw your personal attention to the inordinate delay in settling my account on the part of your Department.

My work of fixing a figure of Valuation, as an Arbitrator, was completed and delivered in April 1949. The Bill for my services was submitted on the 3rd, August 1949 and a few days later a reply was received stating that the matter was being dealt with. No further communications were received. Reminders sent every month did not even receive the courtesy of a reply. Early in December 1949 I sent my Accountant to make personal enquiries and he mentioned to some of your subordinates that if there was any further delay I would probably approach the Minister or even the Premier in my capacity as a Member of the Legislative Assembly. A letter was then received dated the 13th. December 1949, stating that a further communication would be sent in the course of a few days but since then nothing further has been heard.

I shall be glad if you will please enquire into the matter and let me hear early to avoid the necessity of my taking the matter further.

Yours Faithfully,

(H.J.Mulleneux).

HTW-11/1.

1. To be acknowledged drawn
2 Pl state how the matter stands. This is M. Hubli
Power House I believe & orders have been passed.

12th

5660/36/3082.

~~Rly~~ 30

El. Br. Es.

P. W. D.

Acknowledged on 13th January 1950.

AAO
13-1-50.

FOR A S

13/1/50

Issued
13/1/50

13/1/50

EC
12/1



MW B (acc) Mumbai
at once he
12/1 EC B-J
12/1

31 25 5660/36/3082.

El. Br. (I). P. W. D.

Submitted with refce. to the Secy's.

note on p. 23 ante

2. The letter (p. 23) within has been acknld.

Necy. orders in the matter have since been issued and nr. mullenexe informed of the same — vide, G.L. No. 5660/36-El. (I), (p. 21) d. the 17-1-50.

ASS.
24-1-50.

Secy.

Well

El. Pr. (1)

5660|36|3082

P.W.D.

The file no 5660|36|20651, dt the 7-4-50, regarding bill for services of Mr. Mullenex as arbitrator for Hubli Undertaking valuation has been referred up. to the Elect Engr on 7-4-50.

The Elect. Engr. has been expedited under no 5660|36|El(1), dt 21st April 1950

19/4/50

21/4/50.

21/4

H. J. MULLENEUX.
M. I. E. E., M. I. E. (INDIA.)
A. I. R. E. (U.S.A) A. I. L. E. (G.B.)
FELLOW P. W. INST. (G.B.)
CHARTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER &
CHARTERED ENGINEER.

"Hazel Vean"
32, Pali Hill,
BANDRA.

7th.March 1950 194

Dear Dr. Jivraj Mehta

I have to draw your attention to the scandalous manner in which the settlement of my account for the Valuation and Arbitration of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking has been dealt with by the Public Works Department.

I was instructed under letter No.5660/36-VIIE1 of the 15th.January 1948 to carry out the Valuation work of the above undertaking as the sole Arbitrator for a fee of Rs 4500/- for the Valuation and Rs 1000/- for the Arbitration work.These terms were a great reduction on the quotation for the work but were accepted on the assurance that the collection of necessary data would not occupy more than 15 days of my time and the arbitration work would be comparatively simple since being the sole Arbitrator,my Arbitration Award would be embodied in my report on the Valuation,but actually I found when I undertook the work that there were practically no records which are usually available in normal circumstances and the work was unduly prolonged by reason of this, so that I was not able to complete it until April 1949.

When the appointment was made by Government the copy of the Govt.Order,nominating me as the sole Arbitrator under section 52 of the Indian Electric Act 1910,was sent to me and a copy was presumably sent to the Hubli Electric Supply Co.Ltd.I presume the Government of Bombay,apart from being a party to the dispute,had settled the question of appointing me as sole arbitrator in a satisfactory manner before issuing this order.My Valuation report was submitted on 19-4-1949 under my letter No.HES15/141 of 19-4-1949 and from that date no question was raised nor did I receive any communication whatsoever from the P.W.Department asking for my further report as the Arbitrator.On 3-8-1949 I submitted my bill which according to the agreement was Rs 4500/- for the Valuation,Rs 1000/-.for the Arbitration and

Report plus Travelling Expenses of Rs 142/.

In reply to the submission of this bill, a printed form of acknowledgment was sent to me dated the 6th. August 1949 and from that date nothing further was heard not even the curtesy of a reply to several reminders. In December 1949 I therefore sent my Accountant to make personal inquiries and after being sent from one clerk to another for several hours he was informed that the matter would be dealt with very shortly. Nothing further transpired until 11-1-1950 when I addressed a reminder for the personal attention of the Secretary, P.W. Department. Again a stereotyped form was received, informing me that the matter was receiving attention and on 17-1-1950 a letter No. 5660/36/El.(I) was received informing me that Government was pleased to direct that out of my bill for Rs 5642/-, an instalment of Rs 2142/- was to be paid to me, the remaining amount to be paid after receipt of my final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act of 1899. This is the first time that this has been mentioned since I undertook the work on 15-1-1948 and as stated above my report has been in the hands of the P.W. Department since 19-4-1949, so that the attitude of the P.W. Department is absolutely incomprehensible.

The situation, unsatisfactory as it is, is even then not complete for in spite of several reminders written as well as by telephone absolutely nothing has been paid under one excuse or another. Firstly the Department, I think, considered me to be a thought reader because it was stated that although I had submitted a bill I had not submitted the claim for T.A. on the prescribed form and secondly that the matter had to be dealt with by the Accountant General and arising out of this, over three weeks ago I was asked to sign a receipt for the amount in order to expedite matters but still nothing has happened. I am informed this morning by the Electrical Engineer to Government that possibly my report has not been understood because it has not been couched in the terms which are usually employed when one is appointed by a Court of Judicature. This is incomprehensible since I have been informed on a previous occasion that the Solicitors had greatly appreciated the clarity of my report.

In conclusion I regret having to address you directly this matter but you will see from the above that I have

no other alternative.You will see from the above that when I addressed the Secretary of the P.W.Department under a personal cover I merely got a stereotyped form in reply,signed by some person for the Assistant Secretary to Government.

I trust you will please help me in the matter and get this unpleasant business settled without delay.If you wish to discuss the matter personally I am always at your disposal while attending the present Sessions of the Assembly.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr.Jivraj Mehta.
Minister for Public Works,
Bombay Government,
Bombay.

41

5660/36/20651

P.W.D.

Ex B (I)

Submitted

2/- The main papers along with file 5660/36 have been required W.O. to the Electrical Engineer to Govt. on 24-2-50 for submission of a report in the matter. The Ex. Engineer to Govt. may be requested immediately for some time to return the papers before submission of the report, so as to enable Govt. to submit a note to the H.M. P.W.D.

7/4/50.



Below U.O.R.No.5660/36/20651 dated the 10th April 1950, from Government in the Public Works Department.

No. LRM.11/ 1120 of 1950.

Bombay, 27th April 1950.

Returned, with compliments.

2. It has been ascertained from the Executive Engineer, Northern Electrical Division, Bombay, that the amount of Rs.2,000/- was paid to Shri H.J.Mulleneux on the 9th March 1950, as per his voucher No.10 dated the 9th March 1950, while the amount of Rs.142/- on account of travelling allowance was received by him directly from the Accountant General, Bombay, in the same month.

3. In this connection, a reference is invited to this office No.LRM.11/4729 dated the 23rd September 1949, wherein it was suggested that there was no objection to the payment of the whole amount, except for the payment of Rs.1,000/- for the arbitration work.

4. With regard to the main papers along with file No. 5660/36, it is stated that the matter regarding the valuation of the undertaking of the Company was discussed in a conference of the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Deputy Secretary to Government, Finance Department, myself and the Solicitors to Government with the Advocate General, Bombay, and on receipt of a communication from the Solicitors to Government, a report will be submitted to Government in the matter.

Electrical Engineer to Govt.

P.

45 39

TELEPHONE { OFFICE (ON CALL) 40772
RESIDENCE 89017

Directors :
H. J. MULLENEUX, O.B.E.,
M I E E, M I E (INDIA) A I R E (U S A),
A.I.L.E., FELLOW P.W. INST. (GB).
CHARTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER.
CHARTERED ENGINEER.
P. A. MULLENEUX, B.L.C. (HONS.) (GB)

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERS, TECHNICAL INVESTIGATORS
AND SPECIALISTS IN MODEL ENGINEERING.

CHRIST CHURCH PRIVATE ROAD, OFF CLARE ROAD, BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

Reference No.

Date. 21st.April 1950.

Dear Dr. Jivraj Mehta

I have to draw attention to my letter of the 7th. March 1950, regarding the settlement of my account for the Valuation and Arbitration of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking, for which I have, up to date, received only a printed acknowledgment dated the 13th. March 1950.

I shall be glad if you will kindly have the matter expedited.

Yours Sincerely,

(H.J.Mulleneux).

Dr.Jivraj Mehta.
Minister for Public Works,
Bombay Government,
Bombay.

To be ackd. Urgent.
H. M. would like to know the exact position in this case.
Bom 1-5-50

P.W.D. 45

5660/36/34963.

46

El. Br. II

P. W. D.

Acknowledged.

ABS
5/5/50

5/5/50

Issued
8/5

5/5

FL(1)3453/36
3/5/50

P. W. D. 7
File No. ~~3453/36~~ 5660/36
Serial No. 34963.

FL Fair)
7/5/50

7 47

5660/36/33559 & 34693

El.Br.(I). P. W. Deptt.

Submitted with ref. to the H.M.'s note on p. 29 ante.

2. The notes on pp. 15-17 and the G.L. on p. 19 ante, indicate the exact position with ref. to the Bill of Rs. 5,642/-, claimed by Shri H.J.Mulleneux for his services in con. with the valuation of the Hubli El.Supply Undertaking.

& vide "A" on p 16 ante

3. The El.Engr. stated in his note on p. 11 ante that the bill preferred by Shri Mulleneux was in order and payment may be made excluding Rs. 1,000/- viz. charges for the arbitration work, which the El.Engr. stated should be paid after the award is finally made by Shri M. In view, however, of the Secy.'s note, dt. the 6-12-49, agreed to by the F.D., orders were issued in G.L.No.5660/36-El(I), dt. the 17-1-1950, asking the El.Engr. to pay to Shri Mulleneux an amount of Rs. 2,000/- plus Rs. 142/- on account of t.a., the balance being paid after he has given his final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899.

4. It is seen from the El.Engr.'s report dt. the 27-4-50 that the above amount of Rs. 2,000/- plus Rs. 142/- have since been paid to Shri Mulleneux in March 1950.

5. An amount of Rs. 3,500/- (Rs. 5,642 -2,142) still remains to be paid to Shri Mulleneux. This has been held up, as Shri M. has not given his final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899 - vide "A" in the El.Engr.'s note on p. 11 ante. Shri Mulleneux, however, states at "B" on page 37 ante that he has been inform by the El.Engr. that possibly his report has not

beem....

been understood because it is not couched in the terms, which are usually employed when one is appointed by a court of Judicature. The El.Engr. may, therefore, be requested to state whether the statement made by Shri Mulleneux is correct. In fact, the Office had pointed out in June 1949 that Shri Mulleneux has not given the award in a form similar to form VI in the second schedule to the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899 and the El.Engr. was requested in u.o.r. No.5660/36/33111, dt. the 14-6-49 to take up the matter with the Solicitors to Govt. and advise Shri Mulleneux how he should proceed further in the matter. The El.Engr. may now be requested u.o. to state in consultation with the Solicitors to Govt. whether the report submitted by Shri M. should be taken as final award and the balance of Rs. 3,500/- may be paid to Shri M.

6. The El.Engr. may further be requested to treat the case as very urgent.

* Slip Z
File 56 M/36
57727
10-94
(with

ABB
9/5/50.

10/5/50

S.
Secy.

2
VMW.
9/5/50.

In the discussion with the advocate general (Shri Daftary) on 18/4/50, the case when the Elec Engr, Dy Secy (Shri V N Joshi), the representative of the Solicitors (Little & Co) and I were present, it transpired that Mr M. has not performed his duties as arbitrator properly, that he has not visited the site on either side (E.S. Co) & has not held proceedings, which have

been delayed inordinately may
pray instructions . and we may
have to move the High Court
for appointment of another
arbitrator. or for extension of
time for M M. to complete
his fee work, if there has
been so serious defect in
the procedure adopted by him

The Elec Engr may
see and report further
developments - and the opinion
of the Adv. Gen.

I presume we have to pay M
* payment will be made later
M in any case but if he continues, further

1075

2405
12th
LRM11

V. urgent*
to El. Engr in Cont S 12-5-50

TELEPHONE { OFFICE (ON CALL) 40772
RESIDENCE 89017

Directors :
H. J. MULLENEUX, O.B.E.,
M I E E ; M I E (INDIA) A I R E (U S A) ;
A.I.L.E., FELLOW P.W. INST. (GB).
CHARTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER.
CHARTERED ENGINEER.
P. A. MULLENEUX, D.L.C. (HONS.) (GB)

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.

ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERS, TECHNICAL INVESTIGATORS AND SPECIALISTS IN MODEL ENGINEERING.

CHRIST CHURCH PRIVATE ROAD, OFF CLARE ROAD, BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

Reference No.

Date. 17th.May 1950.

From

H.J.Mulleneux O.B.E.,M.L.A.,M.I.E.E.etc
C/O Mulleneux & Mulleneux Ltd.
Christ Church Compound,
Clare Road,Byculla,
Bombay.

To

Dr.Jivraj Mehta
Minister in Charge,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Dear Sir,

Re:Valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

I have to refer you to my letter of the 7th.March 1950, addressed to you personally and to which I received a printed postcard in reply dated the 13th.March 1950,stating that the matter is receiving attention.

In my above quoted letter I drew your attention to the stereotyped manner in which the matter of the settlement of my account for services rendered has been scandalously dealt with for over a year.That letter also outlined all the circumstances of my appointment.

As nothing further was heard from you or your office another personal letter was addressed to you on the 21st April '50. The only reply so far received is another printed postcard dated 8th.May 1950(17 days later) from the Assistant Secretary to the Govt.of Bombay,merely acknowledging receipt of my letter and instructing me to quote Reference No.5660/36-El(I) in all further correspondence on the subject.

May I enquire how much longer this quibbling is to continue?

Since all the detailed work in this connection was discussed with the Electrical Engineer to Govt.I approached that Officer in the matter.I understand from him that the question of the item of Rs 1000/- for arbitration is objected to by the Accountant General on the grounds that the Arbitration was not carried out as I did not summon the parties representing the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

This point has already been explained by me in my letter to you dated the 7th.March 1950.

In order to make the position quite clear I give below the history of the case.

(1) I was approached by the Electrical Engineer to Govt. in June 1946 and asked to quote my terms for the Valuation of the Hubli

Electric Supply Undertaking and in reply I quoted Rs 10000/- as my Fee.
(2) I was later asked to discuss the matter further with the Electrical Engineer to Govt.who stated that my terms were too high and I was requested to consider a reduction on the assurance that all assistance in collecting the data for the Valuation would be provided.
As a result of this discussion I accepted the terms offered by the Government under its letter No.5660/36-El(1) of 25-9-1946.
(a) Rs 300/- per day for attendences at site for the collection of data and inspection,all assistance with labour etc.being provided by Government.
(b) An additional charge of Rs 1000/- for the arbitration work plus travelling expenses and I was requested to confirm my acceptance, which I did.
It is important to note that no limit on the number of days is mentioned in this letter.
(3) Nothing further was heard in this matter until 15-1-1948 when letter No. 5660/36-VII-El was received from Government.This letter issued an Order from Government.
(a) Nominating me as the Arbitrator to determine the value of the Undertaking of the Hubli Electricity Company,to be paid by the Govt. of Bombay pursuant to clauses (b) and (d) of Section 5 of the Indian Electricity Act.I was appointed to determine the value under the Indian Electricity Act and not under the Indian Arbitration Act.If I had been appointed under the Indian Arbitration Act as I have been in other instances by a Court of Law
(1) I would have been authorised to summon all parties concerned as witnesses etc.
(2) I would have been authorised to fix my fees per hearing,to be paid by both parties before the Arbitration commenced.
(b) My fee of Rs 300/- per day,unlimited in the discussions referred to above,was now limited to Rs 4500/- plus a further fee of Rs 1000/- for Arbitration work.
The order of Government in its para 4 nominates me as the Arbitrator to determine the value of the Undertaking under powers conferred by Section 52 of the Indian Electricity Act.
The terms "Arbitrator and Arbitration" used in this order and correspondence is a mistake for the following reasons.-
(1) What was I to arbitrate upon? No information was given to me concerning the claim or claims of the other party to the dispute.I was instructed to value the Undertaking.
(2) At the time of my appointment the matter was "sub judice" before the Privy Council and there was,as far as I am aware,no question from any legal court for arbitration.
(3) As far as I can gather from the papers produced by the Electrical Engineer to Govt.for my inspection,another Consulting Engineer had previously assessed the value of the Undertaking;but Government had considered his assessment to be too high and the Electrical Engineer to Govt. had recommended a lower figure for payment by Government.
I presumed,and I was informed that Government now required an assessment from an outside authority and so had appointed me.
(4) A copy of the order of Government was forwarded by Government to the Hubli Electric Supply Company Ltd.for information.No reference or indication was made that the Company should appear before me nor was I authorised by Government to summon them.
On the above understanding and presumption I carried out the work and submitted my report on the 19th.April 1949.
It is important to note that from that date (19-4-1949) Government took no action,nor was I informed that Government considered that anything further was expected of me.
I had assessed the value of the Undertaking by (1) collecting all relevant information at a fee of Rs 300/- per day for three weeks-4500/-
(2) I had,as the nominated authority of Government under the Indian Electricity Act,assessed the value of the Undertaking for the further fee of Rs 1000/-.
Not hearing anything further from Government,I submitted my bill on the 3rd.August 1949.
Beyond receiving stereotyped forms stating that the matter was being dealt with nothing happened until 17th.January 1950 when letter No. 5660/36-El(I) to the Electrical Engineer to Govt. was received whereby

55 15 69

" By Order of the Governor of Bombay" only Rs 2000/- plus Rs 142/- was directed to be paid pending the receipt of my final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act 1899.
This is the first time since January 1948 that the Indian Arbitration Act has been quoted.
The whole matter has now become a scandalous quibble apparently to cover inefficiency and an avoidance to settle just dues for work completely carried out.
Even though this order was issued on the 17th.January 1950, the amount of Rs 2000/- was not paid until the 9th.March 1950 and the amount of Rs 142/- on the 17th.March 1950.
In conclusion I now have to state that I am tired of this protracted delay under stereotyped postcards and since the only amounts i.e. 2142/- out of an outstanding account of Rs 5642/- has so far been paid " By Order of the Governor " ,if I do not hear something definite within ten days from the date of receipt of this letter I shall be reluctantly compelled to forward all the papers in this connection to His Excellency the Governor for intervention on my behalf.

Yours Faithfully,

H Mendonça.

57 ~~7 51~~ 5660/36/41734.

El. Br. I. P. W. D.

File No. 5660/36/34963, dealing with the bill for services of Mr. Mullenaux as arbitrator for Hubli undertaking valuation, is under ref. to the El. Engr. since the 12-5-50. Therein, the Secy. has noted that in his discussion with the Advocate General, it transpired that Mr. Mullenaux has not performed his duties as arbitrator properly and that the El. Engr. should report further developments and the opinion of the Adv. Genl. The El. Engr. may be requested to return the main file, quoted above and all other papers re: Hubli valuation with him, with his remarks thereon.

2. The El. Engr. may also give his opinion specifically with refee. to "A" on p. 53 ante.

3. The El. Engr. may further be requested to return the papers by to-morrow evening

Contst.

ABS.
25/5/50.

24/5/50

Submitted to El. Engr. & Ex. officio 25-5-50

ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO GOVERNMENT
Inward No. 3156
Date 25th May 1950
File No. KAM11
P. W. D., BOMBAY

59 ~~55~~

Copy of letter No.LRM.11/1796 dated the 13th May 1950, from the Electrical Engineer to Government, P.W.D., Bombay, to the Solicitors to Government, Bombay, re: Valuation of the Undertaking of the Electricity Electricity Co., Ltd.

=====

Further to this office letter No.LRM.11/488 dated the 14th April 1950, I have to request you to please let me know the further developments and the opinion of the Advocate General as a report has to be submitted to Government in the matter.

An immediate reply is requested.

======

" True Copy "

Head Clerk to the
Electrical Engineer to Govt.

61 55

Below U.O.R.No.5660/36/41734 dated the 25th May 1950, from Government in the Public Wor's Department.

No. LRM11/2372 of 1950.

Bombay, 26th May 1950.

/*33559 & 34693 dated 12-5-50, and No.18465 dated 4-4-1950. * Serial no. 1091 dt. 25.2.50.

Returned, with compliments, with U.O.Rs.serial Nos.*/

2. The Solicitors to Government were asked in this office letter No.LRM.11/1796 dated the 13th May 1950 (copy enclosed) to state the further developments and the opinion of the Advocate General; but so far no reply has been received from them, although an expeditor has been sent to them in the matter. It is, therefore, suggested that the Solicitors may be asked to communicate the opinion of the Advocate General and their final views, in order to enable Government to proceed further in the matter.

3. In the meantime, there appears to be no objection to the payment of the whole amount, except the payment of Rs.1,000/- for the arbitration work, as already stated in para.3 of this office No.LRM.11/1120 dated the 27th April 1950.

4. As regards the various points raised by Mr.Mulleneux at "A" on page 3 ante, I have to make the following remarks:

(1) Mr.Mulleneux was appointed as an arbitrator to determine the value of the undertaking in pursuance of clauses (b) and (d) of section 5 of the Indian Electricity Act, and Mr.Mulleneux's appointment was definitely bound by section 52 of the Indian Electricity Act, which says that, except for the appointment of the arbitrator, the entire arbitration would be subject to the provisions of the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899. It was, therefore, the duty of Mr.Mulleneux to have followed the procedure laid down in the Indian Arbitration Act for giving his award.

(2) The arbitrator is entitled to prescribe his fees in any manner he likes, and since in this case the opposite party refused to co-operate, the whole thing had to be done ex-parte. Realising this, Government and Mr.Mulleneux came to a mutual understanding that Mr.Mulleneux's fees should be determined separately for the(a) actual valuation that he would have to do independently at site, and (b)for the arbitration award to be given by him as an arbitrator.

(3) Mr.Mulleneux's contention that at the time of his appointment the matter was sub-judice before the Privy Council and that there was no question from any legal court for arbitration is wholly untenable. Government had been advised by the Solicitors and also the Advocate General to proceed with the appointment of arbitrator, etc., despite the fact that Mr.Ante had appealed to the Privy Council against the High Court's majority decision.

5. In conclusion, it may be stated that so far as the valuation work is concerned, Mr.Mulleneux has completed his work entirely and satisfactorily and, in my opinion, he is fully entitled to receive the sum of Rs.4,500/- for this work. As regards his award, Mr.Mulleneux has apparently not followed the procedure laid down in the Indian Arbitration Act, and if the Solicitors to Government advise that Mr.Mulleneux's appointment as an arbitrator can be continued by application to the High Court, Mr.Mulleneux should be asked to follow the procedure laid down in the Indian Arbitration Act, and if any guidance is required by him in this connection so far as the procedure is concerned, he may consult the Solicitors to Government.

P.

Electrical Engineer to Govt.

63 57

5660/36/42401.

El. Br.(I). P. W. Deptt.

Submitted with refce. to the H.M.'s remarks on p. 51 ante.

2. The notes on pp. 15-17 and the G.L. on p. 19 ante indicate the exact position with refce. to the Bill of Rs.* 5,642/- claimed by Shri H.J. Mulleneuse for his services in con. with the valuation of the Hubli El. Supply Undertaking.

*Valuation	- Rs.4,500
Award	- Rs.1,000
T. A.	- Rs. 142
Total	Rs.5,642

p 45

3. It is seen from the El. Engr's. report, dt. the 27.4.50, that an amount of Rs. 2,142/- (Rs. 2000 as part payment for valuation work plus Rs.142, t.a.) has since been paid to Shri Mulleneuse in March 1950. An amount of Rs.3,500 (Rs.5,642-2,142) still remains to be paid to Shri Mulleneuse. This has been held up, as Shri M. has not given his final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899 - vide, Secy.'s note on pp. 48-49 ante.

4. With refce. to Shri M's. contention at 'A' on p. 53 ante that he was appointed to determine the value of the Hubli Undertaking under the I.E. Act, 1910, and not under the Indian Arbitration Act, it is observed that Shri M. was appointed as an arbitrator in pursuance of clauses (b) and (d) of Section 5 of the I.E. Act, and Shri M's. appointment was definitely bound by Section 52 of the I.E. Act, which says that except for the appointment of the arbitrator, the entire arbitration would be subject to the provisions of the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899. It was, therefore, the duty of Shri Mulleneuse to have followed the procedure laid down in the Indian Arbitration Act for giving his award - vide, para. 4 of the El. Engr.'s note within. p 61

5. In para. 5 of his note within, the El. Engr. states that Shri M. has completed his work entirely and satisfactorily so far as the valuation work is concerned and he is fully entitled to receive the sum of Rs.4500, mentioned in the margin ~~overleaf~~ above. He has so far been paid Rs. 2,000 only for the valuation work - vide. p 61

Secy.'s .....

~~58~~ '64

Secy.'s note on p. 17 and G.L. on p. 19 ante. It is for orders whether the balance of Rs. 2,500 (Rs.4500-2000) for the valuation work may be paid to Shri M. now only, in consultation with the F.D. } A

6. The amount of Rs. 1000 for arbitration work may be paid to Shri M. later on as directed in the last para of the Secy.'s. note on p. 49 ante. In the meanwhile, as suggested by the El. Engr., the Solicitors to Govt. may be asked to communicate the opinion of the Advocate General and their final views and if they advise that Shri M's. appointment as an arbitrator can be continued by application to the High Court, Shri M. may be asked to follow the procedure laid down in the Indian Arbitration Act in consultation with the Govt. Solicitors, if necy.

7. Necy. drafts to Shri M. and the Solicitors to Govt. will be put up on receipt of orders on para. 5 above and after the proposal in para. 6 above is agreed to.

ABS
27/5/50.

2
CKW
27.5.1950.

'A' above is for orders.

Kgn 1/5/50

DS.
Secy.

I think Rs. 2500/- may now be paid, since we are under an obligation to pay it and Sh M's proceedings as arbitrator do not affect this fee

Dy Secy F.D. (Shri Joshi) who attended the meeting with the Advt. may see.

1/5/50

A

Shri Joshi
D.S., F.D.

~~27~~ 65

5660/36/42401

EL.Br.(I). P. W. Department.

In view of the Secy.'s note at 'A' on p. 64 ante, as directed by D.S., the undersigned took the papers personally to Shri Joshi, Dy.Secy., F.D., to-day. Shri Joshi said he would require some more time to study the case, but after going through the papers cursorily, he is of the opinion that Govt. should not pay to Shri Mulleneux Rs. 2,500/- for the valuation work at this stage, unless the Solicitors to Govt. opine that we are legally bound to pay the above amount to Shri M. ~~M.~~ Shri Joshi, further stated that the valuation work has been done in order to arrive at the final arbitration viz. the award, which Shri Mulleneux has not given and that valuation work is only a part of the whole arbitration work; and that if Govt. will be reqd. to appoint another arbitrator, he will also have to be paid.

A

2. The papers may be submitted to the H.M.,P.W.D., at this stage for information with ref. to his orders on p. 45 ante. Thereafter, the file will be refd. back to the Dy.Secy.,F.D., for confirming his provisional views, stated in para one above, and for further remarks, if any.

VMW.
30/5/50.

ABS
30-5-50

30/5/50

DS

H.M.

Discussed with H.M. who desires that (re. A above) the opinion of Solicitors should be obtained immediately & payment made without delay. There is no need to refer the case back to F.D.

30/5

31/5

Sec B
1/6

5660/36/42401.

El. Bd. Is. P. W. D.

The attention of the Solicitors to Govt. may be invited to —

(a) the O.N. on pp. 15-16 ante

(b) G.L. on p. 19 ante

(c) The El. Engr's. note on p. 61 ante

(d) The O.N. on pp. 63-65 ante —

and they may be requested to state, immediately, as desired by the Hon., p. 65 whether Govt. is legally bound to pay the balance of Rs. 2500/- to Shri Mallenavar for the valuation work of Hubli undertaking, when he has not given the final award as an arbitrator so far.

2. The Solicitors' attention may further be invited to the Secy's. note on pp. 48-49 ante and para 2 of the El. Engr's. p. 61 note on p. 61 ante and they may be requested to state the further developments and the opinion of the Advocate General and their final views in the matter whether Shri M's. appointment as an arbitrator can be continued by application to the High Court.

3. If the —

3. If the inform., refd. to in para 2 above cannot be readily given, the Solicitors may please return the papers immediately with their opinion with refce. to the point raised in para one only at this stage and send the remaining inform. later on as early as possible.

4. The Solicitors may pl. treat this case as "Immediate"

1-6-50.

Yj 1/6/50

Immediate.

Copy to the Solicitors to Govt (Messrs Little & Co) ds 2-6.50

+ with files nos 5760/36 VIII 5760/36/57729

& MV M's report (two parts)

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO...TEN...DATED THE....22nd.JUNE..1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ....FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION".
.....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/-H.J.Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, BOMBAY.
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO....TEN....DATED THE....22ND.JUNE.....1950

TO

THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT	Rs.5642-0-0
AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER.	Rs.2142-0-0
BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED.	Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF .....FOUR....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX....,HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/-H.J.Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO THE DY.SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,BOMBAY. FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

72

Copy 1 EIPart I 26/6



Copy B

EIR Part I
7.6.6 SV

73 ff

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO...10.....DATED THE...22nd.June....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-El(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT	Rs.5642-0-0
AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER.	Rs.2142-0-0
BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED.	Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ....FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION".
.....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/-H.J.Mulleneux.
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO ..THE.HON'BLE.MINISTER.PUBLIC.WORKS.&.HOUSING.GOVT.OF.BOMBAY.
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO GOVERNMENT
I. W. & J. No. 5670 6 1950
File No. P. W. D. BOMBAY

Letter to Govt. may see for immediate Remarks.
Please see the above letter
28/6

EIPR I
28/6

P. M. J.
5660/96

Serial No. 52019

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO..ELEVEN..DATED THE...1ST.JULY.....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT	Rs.5642-0-0
AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 = ELEVEN MONTHS LATER.	Rs.2142-0-0
BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED.	Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ...FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION".
.....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/- H.J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.BOMBAY.
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

3222

H Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.


5660/86
53775
EEBI
4-7-SC

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO..ELEVEN..DATED THE....1ST.JULY....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 =ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF .....FOUR....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION".
.....SIX....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/- H. J. Mulleneux

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO THE DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVT.PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.BOMBAY.....
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H J Mulleneux

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

213
417
P.
5660/36
53776
E & E I
4-7-50

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO..ELEVEN..DATED THE...1ST..JULY....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ....FOUR....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION".
.....SIX...HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd: H. J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO:- THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, BOMBAY.
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H. J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

80



81

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO. ELEVEN ..DATED THE..1ST..JULY.....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT — Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 — Rs.2142-0-0
ELEVEN MONTHS LATER.

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. — Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF.....FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION".
.....SIX....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/- H.J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO .THE.PERSONAL.ASSTT.TO.THE.MINISTER.FOR.PUBLIC.WORKS.&.HOUSING
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION. BOMBAY GOVERNMENT.

Shri Mulleneux is an arbitrator in the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking; as he has not given an award, the last instalment of Rs. 3,500 is withheld.

H.M. 4/7/50 H. M. has seen 4/7/50

H.J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.


P. W. D.
5660/36
54342

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO. ELEVEN ..DATED THE....1ST JULY....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT	Rs.5642-0-0
AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 =ELEVEN MONTHS LATER.	Rs.2142-0-0
BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED.	Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ....FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/- H.J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO .THE HON'BLE MINISTER, PUBLIC WORKS AND HOUSING, BOMBAY GOVT.
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

Similar reminder grievance number addressed to me has been passed on to P.W.D., after H.M. had seen. This may also be passed on to the P.W.D. in continuation. Pons 6/7/50

EB
6/71

P. W. D.
5660/36

546316

EB 6/71

Below U.O.R.No.5660/36/52019 dated the 29th June 1950, from Government in the Public Works Department.

No. LRM.11/4077 of 1950.

Bombay, 3rd July 1950.

Returned, with compliments.

2. The undersigned has personally discussed the matter with the Solicitors to Government and they fully agree with me that, so far as the valuation work is concerned, there is no objection to the payment of the whole amount of Rs.4,500/- for this work.

P. W. I.
File No. 5660/36
Encl. 53672

Electrical Engineer to Govt.

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO..TWELVE..DATED THE...11th JULY....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT — Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. — Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. — Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF .....FOUR....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/. H.J.Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO ..THE ASSTT.SECRETARY TO GOVT.PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,BOMBAY GOVT. FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO..TWELVE..DATED THE..11th.JULY.....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ....FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/.H.J.Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO ..THE DY.SECRETARY TO GOVT. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,BOMBAY GOVT.
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO. TWELVE DATED THE 11th JULY 1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-El(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT	Rs.5642-0-0
AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER.	Rs.2142-0-0
BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED.	Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ....FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/- H.J.Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT BOMBAY GOVT. FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H.J.Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

5660/86
57106

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO..TWELVE..DATED THE...11th.JULY....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ...FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/- H.J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO .THE P.A.TO THE HON'BLE MINISTER,PUBLIC WORKS & HOUSING,BOMBAY GOVT. FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

Reminder No.12
is sent by H.M. P.W. & Housing to Secy., P.W.D.
today (14/7/50)

14/7/50

14/7/50

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO. TWELVE ..DATED THE... 11th.July 1950

TO

THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT — Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. — Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. — Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ....FOUR.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd: H. J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO .THE.HON'BLE.MINISTER.PUBLIC.WORKS.&.HOUSING.BOMBAY.GOVT... FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

Discussed; pl. expedite payment of balance & fees for Valuation & Interim Reports
14/7
14/7/50

Talked with Mr Mack - Under Secy
Interviewed he was to come with Mr Mulleneux?
Jam 14/7/50

P. W. D.
File No. 5660/86
Serial No. 58234

17

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO. THIRTEEN. DATED THE 21ST. JULY 1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT — Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. — Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. — Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ..SEVEN.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd. H. J. Mulleneux

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.BOMBAY GOVT.
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H J Mulleneux

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO.THIRTEEN.DATED THE..21ST.JULY.....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ...SEVEN.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION".
.....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR. Sd. H.J. Mulleneux

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO THE ASSTT.SECY.TO GOVT.PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.BOMBAY.GOVT.....
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H.J. Mulleneux

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO. THIRTEEN DATED THE 21ST JULY 1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT — Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. — Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. — Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF SEVEN REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". SIX HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sdy. H.J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO THE DY. SECY. TO GOVT. PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. BOMBAY GOVT.
FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H.J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO.THIRTEEN.DATED THE..21ST.JULY.....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT	Rs.5642-0-0
AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER.	Rs.2142-0-0
BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED.	Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ...SEVEN.....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX.....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/- H. J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO .THE.P.A..TO.THE.HON'BLE.MINISTER.PUBLIC.WORKS.&.HOUSING.. FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H. J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

MULLENEUX & MULLENEUX LTD.
CHRIST CHURCH COMPOUND
OFF CLARE ROAD
BYCULLA, BOMBAY.

REMINDER NO.THIRTEEN.DATED THE....21ST.JULY....1950

TO
THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

P.W.D.FILE NO.5660/36-E1(I).

OUR BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED STILL REMAINS UNPAID

WORK COMPLETED ON THE 19TH APRIL 1949.

TERMS ACCEPTED FOR CONTRACT Rs.5642-0-0

AFTER 5 REMINDERS AMOUNT PAID AS INSTALMENT INSTEAD OF SETTLEMENT OF ACCOUNT ON 9-3-1950 ELEVEN MONTHS LATER. Rs.2142-0-0

BALANCE STILL DUE FOR WHICH NO EXPLANATION IS FURNISHED. Rs.3500-0-0

IN SPITE OF ....SEVEN....REMINDER LETTERS

WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE SETTLED???

WITHOUT THE ISSUE OF PRINTED POST CARDS OR LETTER FORMS STATING "THE MATTER IS RECEIVING ATTENTION". .....SIX....HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO FAR.

Sd/. H.J. Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

COPY TO .THE.HON'BLE.MINISTER.PUBLIC.WORKS.& .HOUSING,BOMBAY.GOVERNMENT. FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION.

H Mulleneux
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

Seen 22/7
Inform reply has been sent to Shri M
Done

EBI
22/7

P. W. D.
File No. 5660/36
Serial No. 5945

Ex B
22/7/36

5660/36/57106, 58234, 59185, & 59421

El-Br-I

P. W. D.

The main file is under ref. to the F.D. since the 13-7-50. The draft put up may issue, if approved. p23

ABB
22-7-50
24

24/7/50

25-

1:9 2

5660/36.

NO-5660/36-El.(I). P. W. D.

B.C. (Bombay NO.1)
25th July 1950.

To

Shri H. J. Mullenaux,
Christ Church compound,
Off Clare Road, Byculla,
Bombay.

Sub :- Arbitration in con. with
the Indbli El. Supply Co. Ltd.
Bill for the —

Dear Sir,

With refee. to your reminders, dt. the 11th. and 21st. July 1950, on the above subject, I am directed to state that the question of paying you the balance for the above work is

p.1 1.19

5660/36. 2nd 11

is already under the active consideration of Govt. and necy. orders will be issued shortly.

Yours faithfully, DA-Nil.

Asst. Secy. to Govt.

Copy forwarded to the F.D. with a request to return the P.W.D. file No. 5660/36/64814, very early on the subject, ref'd. no. to this Dept. on the 13-7-50.

ABS
22-7-50
2h

No.5660/36-El(I).

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No.1),
25th July 1950.

From

The Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

To

Shri H.J.Mulleneux,
Christ Church Compound,
Off Clare Road, Byculla,
Bombay.

Subject:- Arbitration in connection with the Hubli Electric Supply Company Limited. Bill for the -

Dear Sir,

With reference to your reminders, dated the 11th and 21st July 1950, on the above subject, I am directed to state that the question of paying you the balance for the above work is already under the active consideration of Government and necessary orders will be issued shortly.

Yours faithfully,

25/7/50

Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

D.A:- Nil.

Copy.

Copy forwarded to the Finance Department with a request to return very early the Public Works Department file No.5660/36/64814, on the subject, referred un-officially to that Department on the 13th July 1950.

MAB/25/7/50.

Ds U
25/7

26-7-50

5660/36/53672.

P. W. D.

El.Br.(I). 1967-68.

Submitted with refce. to the O.N. dated the 1-6-1950.

2. These papers, which were under u.o.r. to the Solicitors to Govt. since 2-6-50, have been brought back informally from them and put up. As desired by the Secy. on p. 7 ante, the El. Engr. has since discussed the matter personally with the Solicitors to Govt. and they fully agree that so far as the valuation work is concerned, there is no objection to the payment of the whole amount of Rs.4,500/- for this work.

3. For his services in con. with the valuation etc. of the Hubli Undertaking, Shri H.J.Mulleneux has claimed Rs.5,642, as detailed at 'A' on p. 16 ante. Out of this, an amount of Rs.2,142/- (Rs.2000 as part payment for valuation work plus Rs.142 T.A.) has since been paid to him - vide, G.L. No.5660/36-El(I), dated the 17-1-50 and para 2 of the El.Engr's report on p 43 ante. An amount of Rs.3500 (Rs.5,642-2142) viz. Rs.2500/- being the balance of valuation bill plus Rs.1,000/- being charges for the arbitration work, still remains to be paid.

T.A. 142 ✓
Val - 4500
arb - 1000 +
5,642

4. In view of the El. Engr's remarks within, the balance of Rs.2500/- for the valuation work may be paid now to Shri Mulleneux and the balance of Rs.1000/- representing the arbitration charges may be paid to him after he has given his final award. Necy. draft put up for approval may issue after u.o.r. to the F.D.

The F.D's attention may be invited to the O.N. on pp. 15-16 G.L. on p. 19, the El. Engr's report on p. 61 and the proceedings on pp. 6 ante, which explain the facts of the case fully.

Thro' M.B.(II).

12-7-50

5.....

5. If it is decided to make the payment this year, the requisite amount may be authorised under the head "XLI-Receipts from Electricity Schemes-Deduct Working Expenses" in u.o. consultation with the F.D.

M

12/7/50

13/7/50

13/7

MAB/12/7/50.

Secy. to I.T.

V. Insp

to Fin Deptt 14.7.50

Finance Deptt

Submitted with reference to letter F. 170 dated 24-12-49.

2 Please see (i) P.W.D. notes at pages 63-68 ante, and (ii) El. Engineer's endst. dt. 3.2.50 at p. 89 ante. The orders pointed by the P.W.D. may be agreed to

orders/

17/7/50

25/7/50

to P.W.D. 25/7/50

DS(I)

El. Br. I.

P.W.D. El I 27/7

The drafts on pp. 89-90 ante may now issue

AAO 27-7-50

To A.B. (I)

27-7-50

14/7/50.

DS- El Br I

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
F. No. F
Date 14-7-50
Serial No. 32845

P. W. D.
5660/36
60625

5660/36/53672

Letter.

No.5660/36-El(I).

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No.1),
28th July 1950.

To

The El. Engr. to Govt.

Subject:- Bill for the services of Shri H.J.Mulleneux in con. with the valuation of the Hubli El. Supply Undertaking.

Reference: Your No.LRM.11/4077, dated the 3-7-1950.

2. In continuation of G.L.No.5660/36-El(I), dated the 17-1-50, Govt. is pleased to direct that a further amount of Rs.2,500/- should be paid to Shri H.J.Mulleneux for determining the valuation of the Hubli El. Supply Undertaking. The balance of Rs.1000/- (out of the total amount of Rs.5,642/- claimed by Shri Mulleneux for his services for the work mentioned above), which represents the charges for the arbitration work, should be paid to him after he has given his final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899.

You are accordingly requested to make the necy. arrangements for payment of the amount, as mentioned above, to Shri Mulleneux under intimation to Govt.

3. The expenditure of Rs.2,500/- is authorised in the current financial year under the head "XLI-Receipts from Electricity Schemes-Deduct Working Expenses-Hubli Electricity Supply Undertaking-Establishment-Special". Sadasiv pointing out a sanad will be issued later.

By order of the Govr. of Bombay.

D.A.Nil

Sy. Secy. to Govt.

Copy forwarded for information to Shri H.J.Mulleneux, Christ Church Compound, Opp. Clare Road, Byculla, Bombay, with reference to his letter, dated the 17-5-1950, addressed to the Hon'ble Minister for Public Works.

Copy...

5660/36

Copy forwarded for information to -

the F.D.,
the A.G., Bombay, (through the F.D.),

with reference to G.E. No.5660/36-El(I), dated the 17th January 1950.

12-7-50

MAB/12/7/50.

Letter.

No.5660/36-Rl.(I).

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No.1),
28th July 1950.

To

The Electrical Engineer to Government.

Subject:- Bill for the services of Shri H.J.Mulleneux in connection with the valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

Reference:- Your No.EEM.11/4077, dated the 3rd July 1950.

2. In continuation of Government Letter No.5660/36-Rl.(I), dated the 17th January 1950, Government is pleased to direct that a further amount of Rs.2,500/- should be paid to Shri H.J Mulleneux for determining the valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking. The balance of Rs.1,000/- (out of the total amount of Rs.5,642/- claimed by Shri Mulleneux for his services for the work mentioned above), which represents the charges for the arbitration work, should be paid to him after he has given his final award in accordance with the Indian Arbitration Act, 1899.

You are accordingly requested to make the necessary arrangements for payment of the amount, as mentioned above, to Shri Mulleneux under intimation to Government.

3. The expenditure of Rs.2,500/- is authorised in the current financial year under the head "XLI - Receipts from Electricity Schemes - Deduct Working Expenses - Hubli Electricity Supply Undertaking - Establishment - Special". Orders pointing out a source will be issued later.

By order of the Governor of Bombay,

29/7/50

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

D.A. - Nil.

Orders issued after ca... finance with this D... with reference...

Copy forwarded for information to Shri H.J.Mulleneux, Christ Church Compound, Opposite Clare Road, Byculla, Bombay, with reference to his letter, dated the 17th May 1950, addressed to the Honourable Minister for Public Works.

Copy forwarded for information to -

the Finance Department,
the Accountant General, Bombay
(through the Finance Department),

with reference to Government Endorsement No.5660/36-Rl.(I), dated the 17th January 1950.

29/7/50

29/7

ISSUED
31-7-50

No. LRM.11/ 8345 of 1950.
P.W.D.Offices, Churchgate Street,
Fort, Bombay(1) 26th September 1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Bombay.

Subject:- Bill for the services of Shri H.J.Mulleneux in connection with the valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

Reference: Government, Public Works Department, letter No.5660/36-El(I) dated the 28th July 1950.

2. Shri H.J.Mulleneux has been paid a further amount of Rs.2,500/- on the 7th September 1950 for determing the valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking, as directed by Government in their letter referred to above.

Electrical Engineer to Govt.

P.

5660/36/7919.

EL. Br. (I) P.W.D.

P. 119.

The letter No. ∠RM. II/8345, dated the 26-9-50, from the Electrical Engineer to Govt. is submitted for information with reference to P. 117. G. O. No. 5660/36-EL.(I), dated the 28-7-50.

Await.

10-50.

D.S.

123

No. LRM.11/ 9522 of 1950.
P.W.D.Offices, Churchgate Street,
Fort, Bombay(1) 30th October 1950.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Bombay.

Re:- Bill for the services of Shri H.J.Mulleneux in connection with the valuation of the Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking.

P-115

Reference: Government Letter, Public Works Department, No.5660/36-El(I), dated the 28th July 1950.

2. The Executive Engineer, Northern Electrical Division, reports that, as instructed by the Accountant General, Bombay, payment of Rs.2,500/- was made by him to Shri Mulleneux on a hand receipt and the charges have been debited to "Miscellaneous P.W.Advances", as no clear orders as to the exact minor head of the major head "XLI-Receipts from Electricity Schemes - Deduct Working Expenses", to which the expenditure is to be debited, are issued in the Government Letter referred to above.

3. On the last occasion, when a payment P-19 of Rs.2,142/- (Rs.2,000/- as fees plus Rs.142/- travelling allowance) was made to Shri Mulleneux, the amount of Rs.2,000/- was debited to the minor head "(a) Pay of Officers"and the amount of Rs.142/- to "(c) Allowances" of the major head "XLI-Receipts from Electricity Schemes - Deduct Working Expenses".

4. It is, therefore, presumed that the amount of Rs.2,500/- paid now is correctly debitable to the minor head "(a) Pay of Officers" under the major head "XLI-Receipts from Electricity Schemes - Deduct Working Expenses". This may please be confirmed.

Electrical Engineer to Govt.

P.

125

Below Govt. in the P.W.R. U.O.R. No.5660/36 1877 dated 30.1.51. Re; Bill for the services of Shri H.J. Mullenux in connection with the Hubli Electricit Supply Undertaking.

A.G's office, Bombay.

Passed on with compliments to Govt. in the F.D. Bombay.

2. The papers referred to were passed on under this to F.D. office U.O.R. No.W.M.331 dated 29.12.50.

Sd/.
D.A.G.

U.O.R.to Govt. in the Govt.F.D. Bombay.
No.W.M.275 2/51

26/2

Copy forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Govt.P.W.D. Bombay for information.

DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GE

5660/36/17178

E.I.Br.(I)

P.W.D

Await -

27/2/51

Sr. Subject

27.

P. W. D.
File No. 566/36
Sub No. 17.178

2/1/9

30035
F 21

3127 5660/36/17178.

El.Br.(I) P.W.D.



Submitted

The papers may be referred w.o. to the Fin. Deptt. with a request to return main file on the subject with remarks thereon

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
File No. F
Date 16-3-51
Serial No. 35550

1/3/51

Fin Deptt 9-3-51

F.D. G.

These pps. may be returned to P.W.D. without intimation that the pps. mentioned above. Govt have been referred v.o. to A.G. on 3/3/51

17/3 AS.(P).

5660/36
88472

P.W.D.

Sl.Br(I) P.123
Submitted with refce to the G.C. at p. 115 ante.

2. The presumption made by the Sl.Engr P.123 in para 4 of his letter appears to be correct. If may be confirmed after ucr. to the A.G. Bbay thro A.Br(III)

6/12/50 7/12/50

D.S.

W.M.

WM 240

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 9 DEC 1950 BOMBAY

A.G's Office,
Bombay.

Returned with compliments.

2. It is suggested that the Finance Department may please be consulted in the first instance.

D.A.G.

12.1.51

No. 30085

U.O.R. to Finance Department.
No.WM.213. Decr 1950.

This letter should be addressed to:-
"THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (MARKETING),
Branch Office
Universal
Sir, P. M. Road, BOMBAY No. 1

G Br vide para 4 on page 2
3/1/51

126 126 130

Finance Department (G).

P.115

Please see G.R.,P.W.D. No.5660/36-El.(I) dated 28-7-1950.

2. The expenditure in question is in connection with the valuation of the Hubli Elecyric Supply Undertaking. It is, therefore, correctly debitable to the minor head "Establishment (Special)" under "XLI-Receipts from Electricity Schemes-Deduct-Working Expenses-Hubli Electric Supply Undertaking".

3. The papers may be returned to the A.G. with the above remarks.

AHS. 28/3/51.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 9 MAR 1951 BOMBAY.

WM 327

A.G.(B...)

3/3

2 → 2 15 A.G. 3-3-51.

A.G's Office, Bombay..

Returned with compliments to the Government of Bombay Finance Department.

2. The expenditure in question represents payments for the services of a non-official and as such it is correctly debitable to the sub head "C-allowances and Honoararia" under the minor head "Establishment" ~~Xidex~~ Subodinate to the head "XLI -Receipts from Electricity Schemes-Deduct-Working of expenses-Hubli Electric Supply Undertaiking.".

AHS 26/3

26/3/51

D.A.G.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
File No. G/24
Date 29-3-51
Serial No 3669

U.O.R. to the Govt. of Bombay Finance Department.
No.WM.327 27 March 1951.

Reply to be Addressed to:-
"THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (WORKS),
Branch Office of The Accountant General, Bombay,
Universal Assurance Building, 4th Floor,
Sir, M. Road, BOMBAY No. 1

131

Finance Deptt. (G).

Submitted with reference to this Deptt. note dt. 3-3-51 (130).

The papers may now be passed on to the P. & S. with a request to take necy. action as suggested by the A.G. in his no. note dt. 27-3-57 (130).

Ans.
30/3/57.

30/3

Ans. P. & S.

Note to P. & S. dt. 30-3-57

5660/36
28414

126

P. W. D.

Sub. Br (E)

Submitted with letter to the o.n. at p-126 ante.

2. The El. Engr. may be informed as advised by the R.G. The draft p133 put up for approval may issue.

Thro A/S (E)

5/4/51

5/4/51

D.S.

Sub Br (I)
2/4.



~~LCC~~ 133 5660/36
28/4/51

Letter

No. 5660/36 El (I) P.W.D.
B.C. (Bombay No. 1)
9th April 1951.

To
The El. Engr. to Govt.

Sub.. Bill for the services of Shri H.J. Mullenaux in connection with the valuation of the Hubli Elec. S. Undertaking.

Refce. your letter No. LRM. 11/9522, P-123 dated the 30-10-50.

2. The expenditure in question is correctly debitable to the Sub-head "C - allowances and Honoraria" under the minor head "Establishment" ~~Special~~ - "Subordinate" to the head "XLI - Receipts from Elect Schemes - Deduct - Working of expen Hubli Elec. Supply Undertaking".

D.A. Nil

By order etc
Asstt. Secy

Finance

copy forwarded to
the Fin.
the A.G. Bombay (with reference to his no WOM 327 of the 29-3-51
for information.

Issued
KM
10/4/51

5/4/51

Ans 15/4
9/4